

# Online Appendix

## Common Ownership and the Secular Stagnation Hypothesis

José Azar and Xavier Vives

IESE Business School

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1: The objective function of the firm is strictly concave. The second derivative of the objective function with respect to labor is:

$$F_{LL} - 2\omega' - \omega'' \cdot (L_j + \lambda L_{-j}) < 0$$

since  $F_{LL} < 0$  and  $-2\omega' - \omega'' \cdot (L_j + \lambda L_{-j}) < 0$  because we are assuming that labor supply is constant elasticity. The second derivative of the objective function with respect to capital is

$$F_{KK} - 2\rho' - \rho'' (K_j + \lambda K_{-j}) < 0$$

since  $F_{KK} < 0$  and  $-2\rho' - \rho'' (K_j + \lambda K_{-j}) < 0$ . The latter inequality follows because  $-2\rho' - \rho'' (K_j + \lambda K_{-j}) = -\rho'(K) \left[ 2 + \rho''(K)K/\rho'(K)(s_j^K + \lambda(1 - s_j^K)) \right]$ , where  $s_j^K$  is firm  $j$ 's share of capital and the expression in brackets is positive because  $\rho''(K)K/\rho'(K) \geq -1$ . To see this, note that  $\rho'(K) = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \frac{E}{E-K} \frac{\rho(K)}{K}$  and  $\rho''(K) = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \frac{\rho(K)}{K^2} \frac{E}{E-K} \left[ \frac{K}{E-K} + \frac{\rho'(K)K}{\rho(K)} - 1 \right]$ . Thus,  $\rho''(K)K/\rho'(K) = K/(E-K) + \rho'(K)K/\rho(K) - 1 \geq -1$ .

The fact that  $F_{LL} \cdot F_{KK} - F_{LK}^2$  is positive (since  $F$  is concave) implies that the determinant of the matrix of second derivatives is positive, which is the last condition we needed to establish strict concavity of the objective function. From the first-order conditions, it is then clear that the reaction functions are continuous, and therefore a Nash equilibrium exists.

To prove that there is a unique symmetric equilibrium, we consider the system of FOCs when employment and capital are symmetric across firms, and show that there is a unique solution. From

the FOC for labor, we can solve for labor as a function of capital, obtaining:

$$L = \left[ \frac{A\alpha}{\chi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \left(1 + \frac{H}{\eta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}}} K^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}}}.$$

Replacing this in the FOC for capital, we obtain an implicit equation for capital:

$$A(1-\alpha) \left[ \frac{A\alpha}{\chi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \left(1 + \frac{H}{\eta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}}} K^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}}} - [\rho(K)(1+H/\varepsilon(K)) - (1-\delta)] = 0.$$

The limit when  $K \rightarrow 0^+$  of this expression is  $+\infty$ , while the limit when  $K \rightarrow E^-$  is  $-\infty$ . The derivative of this expression with respect to  $K$  is negative, which implies that there is a unique solution to the equation. The two-equation characterization of the equilibrium obtains directly from imposing symmetry in the FOCs of the firm.

#### PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2:

(a) We start by noting that the number of firms  $J$  and the common ownership parameter  $\phi$  enter the equilibrium equation for capital only through market concentration  $H$ . We then use the equilibrium equation for capital to define capital as an implicit function of  $H \in (0, 1]$ :

$$A(1-\alpha) \left[ \frac{A\alpha}{\chi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \left(1 + \frac{H}{\eta}\right)} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}}} K^*(H)^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}}} \equiv \rho(K^*(H))(1+H/\varepsilon(K^*(H))) - (1-\delta).$$

Taking log and derivative with respect to  $\log H$  yields

$$-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}} \left( \frac{\frac{H}{\eta}}{1+\frac{H}{\eta}} + \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{d \log K^*}{d \log H} \right) = \frac{\rho \cdot (1+H/\varepsilon)}{\rho \cdot (1+H/\varepsilon) - (1-\delta)} \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{d \log K^*}{d \log H} + \frac{\frac{H}{\varepsilon}}{1+\frac{H}{\varepsilon}} \left( 1 + \frac{d \log K^*}{d \log H} \frac{s}{1-s} \right) \right].$$

Solving for  $\varepsilon_{KH} \equiv \frac{d \log K^*}{d \log H}$ :

$$\varepsilon_{KH} = - \frac{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}} \frac{H}{1+\frac{H}{\eta}} + \frac{\rho \cdot (1+H/\varepsilon)}{\rho \cdot (1+H/\varepsilon) - (1-\delta)} \frac{H/\varepsilon}{1+\frac{H}{\varepsilon}}}{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}} \frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{\rho \cdot (1+H/\varepsilon)}{\rho \cdot (1+H/\varepsilon) - (1-\delta)} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{H/\varepsilon}{1+\frac{H}{\varepsilon}} \frac{s}{1-s} \right)} < 0.$$

(b) We know that

$$L^* = \left[ \frac{A\alpha}{\chi^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \left( 1 + \frac{H}{\eta} \right)} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}}} K^{*\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\frac{1}{\eta}}}$$

which is decreasing in  $H$  and increasing in  $K$ . Since  $H$  increases when the number of firms decreases or common ownership increases, and  $K$  decreases with them,  $L$  must decline with both lower  $J$  and higher  $\phi$ .

(c), (d), and (e) Since the equilibrium real wage and real interest rates are increasing in  $L$  and  $K$ , they also must decline when the number of firms decreases or common ownership increases. A lower level of employment and capital also implies lower output.

(f) The labor share of income is  $\frac{\omega(L)L}{F(K,L)} = \frac{\alpha}{1+H/\eta}$ . A decrease in the number of firms or an increase in the common ownership parameter  $\phi$  increases  $H$  and therefore decreases the labor share.

(g) We can obtain:

$$\text{sgn} \left\{ \frac{d \log \mu_K^*}{d \log H} \right\} = \text{sgn} \left\{ \frac{s}{1-s} \left[ \rho(K^*) - \left( \frac{\gamma}{(1-\gamma)s} + 1 \right) (1-\delta) \right] \varepsilon_{KH} + \rho(K^*) - (1-\delta) \right\}.$$

All else equal, given that  $\varepsilon_{KH} < 0$  the expression above is minimized for  $\gamma = 0$  for which it becomes:

$$\text{sgn} \left\{ \frac{d \log \mu_K^*}{d \log H} \right\} = \text{sgn} \left\{ \frac{1-s}{s} + \varepsilon_{KH} \right\}.$$

Thus, a sufficient condition for the real interest rate markup  $\mu_K^*$  to be increasing in  $H$  is that the

elasticity of (equilibrium) capital with respect to  $H$  be low enough:

$$|\varepsilon_{KH}| < \frac{1-s}{s}.$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3:

As in [Azar and Vives \(2018\)](#), the competitive equilibrium relative price of sector  $n$ 's good is  $\frac{p_n}{P} = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{1/\theta} \left(\frac{c_n}{C}\right)^{-1/\theta}$ , where  $P$  is the price index  $\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N p_n^{1-\theta}\right)^{1/(1-\theta)}$ . The competitive equilibrium relative price of sector  $n$  is

$$\psi_n(K, L) = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{1/\theta} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J F(K_{jn}, L_{jn})}{\left[ \sum_{m=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{1/\theta} \left(\sum_{j=1}^J F(K_{jn}, L_{jn})\right)^{(\theta-1)/\theta} \right]^{\theta/(\theta-1)}} \right)^{-1/\theta}.$$

The derivative with respect to  $L_{jn}$  is, as in [Azar and Vives \(2018\)](#):

$$\frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial L_{jn}} = -\frac{1}{\theta} \psi_n \left(1 - \frac{p_n c_n}{PC}\right) \frac{F_L(K_{jn}, L_{jn})}{c_n} < 0.$$

The derivative with respect to  $K_{jn}$  is similar:

$$\frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial K_{jn}} = -\frac{1}{\theta} \psi_n \left(1 - \frac{p_n c_n}{PC}\right) \frac{F_K(K_{jn}, L_{jn})}{c_n} < 0.$$

Also similarly to [Azar and Vives \(2018\)](#), the derivatives of the relative price in other sectors  $m \neq n$  are given by:

$$\frac{\partial \psi_m}{\partial L_{jn}} = \frac{1}{\theta} \psi_n \frac{p_m c_m}{PC} \frac{F_L(K_{jn}, L_{jn})}{c_m} > 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \psi_m}{\partial K_{jn}} = \frac{1}{\theta} \psi_n \frac{p_m c_m}{PC} \frac{F_K(K_{jn}, L_{jn})}{c_m} > 0.$$

The first-order condition of firm  $j$  with respect to  $L_{jn}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n F_L(K_{jn}, L_{jn}) - \omega - \omega' \left( L_{jn} + \lambda_{intra} \sum_{k \neq j} L_{kn} + \lambda_{inter} \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{k=1}^J L_{km} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial L_{jn}} \left( F(K_{jn}, L_{jn}) + \lambda_{intra} \sum_{k \neq j} F(K_{kn}, L_{kn}) \right) + \lambda_{inter} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\partial \psi_m}{\partial L_{jn}} \sum_{k=1}^J F(K_{km}, L_{km}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first-order condition with respect to  $K_{jn}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n F_K(K_{jn}, L_{jn}) - \rho - \rho' \left( K_{jn} + \lambda_{intra} \sum_{k \neq j} K_{kn} + \lambda_{inter} \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{k=1}^J K_{km} \right) + (1 - \delta) \\ + \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial K_{jn}} \left( F(K_{jn}, L_{jn}) + \lambda_{intra} \sum_{k \neq j} F(K_{kn}, L_{kn}) \right) + \lambda_{inter} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\partial \psi_m}{\partial K_{jn}} \sum_{k=1}^J F(K_{km}, L_{km}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In a symmetric equilibrium, similarly to [Azar and Vives \(2018\)](#), the first-order condition with respect to  $L_{nj}$  simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_L\left(\frac{K}{J}, \frac{L}{J}\right) - \omega(L)}{\omega(L)} = \frac{\omega'(L)L}{\omega(L)} [s_{jn}^L + \lambda_{intra} s_{-j,n}^L + \lambda_{inter} (1 - s_{jn}^L - s_{-j,n}^L)] \\ + \frac{1}{\theta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \frac{F_L\left(\frac{K}{JN}, \frac{L}{JN}\right)}{\omega(L)} [s_{jn} + \lambda_{intra} (1 - s_{jn}) - \lambda_{inter}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_{jn}^L \equiv L_{jn}/L$  is the labor market share of firm  $j$  in sector  $n$ ,  $s_{-j,n}^L \equiv \sum_{k \neq j} L_{kn}/L$  is the combined labor market share of the other firms in sector  $n$ , and  $s_{jn} \equiv F(K_{jn}, L_{jn})/c_n$  is the product market share of firm  $j$  in sector  $n$ .

Analogously, the first-order condition with respect to  $K_{jn}$  simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_K\left(\frac{K}{JN}, \frac{L}{JN}\right) - \rho(K) + 1 - \delta}{\rho(K) - 1 + \delta} = \frac{\rho'(K)K}{\rho(K) - 1 + \delta} [s_{jn}^K + \lambda_{intra} s_{-j,n}^K + \lambda_{inter} (1 - s_{jn}^K - s_{-j,n}^K)] + (1 - \delta) \\ + \frac{1}{\theta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) \frac{F_K\left(\frac{K}{JN}, \frac{L}{JN}\right)}{\rho(K) - 1 + \delta} [s_{jn} + \lambda_{intra} (1 - s_{jn}) - \lambda_{inter}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_{jn}^K \equiv K_{jn}/K$  is the capital market share of firm  $j$  in sector  $n$ ,  $s_{-j,n}^K \equiv \sum_{k \neq j} K_{kn}/L$  is the combined capital market share of the other firms in sector  $n$ .

In a symmetric equilibrium the labor market share of firm  $j$  in sector  $n$  is  $\frac{1}{JN}$ , its capital market share is also  $\frac{1}{JN}$ , and its product market share is  $\frac{1}{J}$ . Since  $\frac{\omega'(L)L}{\omega(L)} = \frac{1}{\eta}$ , and defining  $\mu_L = F_L/\omega - 1$ , the first-order condition with respect to  $L_{jn}$  can be written as

$$\mu_L^* = \frac{1}{\eta} \underbrace{\left[ \frac{1}{JN} + \lambda_{intra} \frac{J-1}{JN} + \lambda_{inter} \frac{N-1}{N} \right]}_{H_{labor}} + \frac{1 + \mu_L}{\theta} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \underbrace{\left[ \frac{1}{J} + \lambda_{intra} \frac{J-1}{J} - \lambda_{inter} \right]}_{H_{product}}.$$

Similarly, since  $\frac{\rho'(K)K}{\rho(K)-1+\delta} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(K)} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1-\delta}{\rho(K)}}$ , and defining  $\mu_K = F_K/(\rho - 1 + \delta) - 1$ , the first-order condition with respect to capital can be written as

$$\mu_K^* = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(K) \left( 1 - \frac{1-\delta}{\rho(K)} \right)} \underbrace{\left[ \frac{1}{JN} + \lambda_{intra} \frac{J-1}{JN} + \lambda_{inter} \frac{N-1}{N} \right]}_{H_{capital}} + \frac{1 + \mu_K}{\theta} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) \underbrace{\left[ \frac{1}{J} + \lambda_{intra} \frac{J-1}{J} - \lambda_{inter} \right]}_{H_{product}}.$$

Solving for  $1 + \mu_L^*$  and  $1 + \mu_K^*$ , we obtain

$$1 + \mu_L^* = \frac{1 + H_{labor}/\eta}{1 - (H_{product} - \lambda_{inter})(1 - 1/N)/\theta}$$

$$1 + \mu_K^* = \frac{1 + H_{capital}/(\varepsilon(K)(1 - (1 - \delta)/\rho(K)))}{1 - (H_{product} - \lambda_{inter})(1 - 1/N)/\theta},$$

which are the expressions for the markdowns in the proposition.